

Topic: Accountability Long Term Goals

Contents

Canica Accountability Long Torm Cools	1
opic: Accountability Long Term Goals	
What the Law requires	2
Peer Review Criteria	2
Montana Long-term Goals	5
Feedback on Previously Submitted Long Term Goals	9
Summary of Long-term Goals from Submitted State Plans	10
AZ	10
co	10
СТ	11
DE	11
DC	11
IL	11
LA	12
ME	12
MA	13
MI	13
NV	14
NJ	14
NM	15
ND	15
OR	15
TN	
VT	15

What the Law requires

The statewide accountability system shall be based on the challenging State academic standards for reading or language arts and mathematics to improve student achievement and school success. In designing such as system the state shall:

Establish ambitious state-designed long-term goals, which shall include measurements of
interim progress toward meeting such goals to include at minimum improved academic
achievement, as measured by proficiency on the annual assessments; high school
graduation rates, including the four-year adjusted graduation rate, and at the State's
discretion, the extended-year adjusted cohort graduation rate

Peer Review Criteria

	Long Term Goals	Measurements of Interim Progress
General criteria for each category of goal	 Does the SEA identify and describe long-term goals for each subgroup of students? Does the SEA's description include baseline data for all students and for each subgroup of students? Does the SEA's description include the timeline for meeting the long-term goals? Is the timeline the same multi-year length of time for all students and for each subgroup of students? Are the long-term goals ambitious? 	
A.4.iii.a.1: Long-term academic achievement goals	Does the SEA identify (i.e., by providing a numeric measure) and describe the long-term goals for all students for improved academic achievement, as measured by grade-level proficiency on the annual statewide reading/language arts and mathematics assessments (which must apply the same academic achievement standards to all public school students in the State, except those with most significant cognitive disabilities)?	 Does the SEA provide measurements of interim progress toward meeting the long-term goals for all students? Does the SEA provide measurements of interim progress toward meeting the long-term goals for each subgroup of students?
A.4.iii.a.3: Improvement necessary to close statewide proficiency gaps	Do the long-term goals and measurements of interim progress for academic achievement take into account the improvement necessary for subgroups of students who are behind in reaching those goals to make significant progress in closing	

	Long Term Goals	Measurements of Interim Progress
	statewide proficiency gaps, such that the State's long-term goals require greater rates of improvement for subgroups of students that are lower achieving?	
A.4.iii.b.1: Long-term goals for four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate	 Does the SEA identify and describe the long-term goals for the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate for all students? Does the SEA identify and describe the long-term goals for the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate for each subgroup of students? If applicable (i.e., if the SEA chooses, at its discretion, to establish long-term goals for one or more extended-year rates), does the SEA identify and describe the long-term goals for each extended-year adjusted cohort graduation rate for all students? 	 Does the SEA provide measurements of interim progress toward the long-term goals for the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate and any extended-year adjusted cohort graduation rate for all students? Does the SEA provide measurements of interim progress toward the long-term goals for the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate and any extended-year adjusted cohort graduation rate for each subgroup of students?
A.4.iii.b.4: Improvement necessary to close statewide graduation rate gaps	Do the long-term goals and measurements of interim progress for the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate and any extended-year adjusted cohort graduation rate take into account the improvement necessary for subgroups of students who are behind in reaching those goals to make significant progress in closing statewide graduation rate gaps, such that the State's long term goals require greater rates of improvement for subgroups of students that graduate from high school at lower rates?	
A.4.iii.c: Long Term Goals for English Language Proficiency	Does the SEA identify and describe the long-term goal for increases in the percentage of English learners making progress in achieving English language proficiency, as measured by the statewide English language proficiency assessment?	Does the SEA provide measurements of interim progress toward the long- term goal for increases in the percentage of English learners making progress in achieving English language proficiency?

Montana Long-term Goals

Academic Achievement

Previously Submitted

The OPI lists the long term goals for the first four indicators in the process outlined below, except for 11th grade ACT measure. Since the ACT is a normed test, year to year results may not be consistent (i.e., a college readiness score may change based on the testing population). A 22 may be college ready in 2014 but not in 2015. The OPI expects to concentrate on closing the gap between "all students" average scale scores. The OPI has listed the 2016 statewide average (SWA) for each indicator and will compare school performance against the SWA for all students and each subgroup of students each year. Interim progress measures have also been listed and will be examined yearly and long term progress will examine outcomes at the end of three years compared to the starting SWA.

Subgroups**	Reading/	Reading/	Mathematics:	Mathematics:
	Language Arts:	Language Arts:	Baseline Data and	Long-term
	Baseline Data	Long-term	Year	Goal
	and Year	Goal		
All students	3- 47.9% (2016)	4- 53.7% (2020)	3- 49.5% (2016)	3- 59.5% (2020)
	4- 48.7% (2016)	5- 53.6% (2020)	4- 43.8% (2016)	4- 53.8% (2020)
	5- 48.6% (2016)	6- 56.4% (2020)	5- 36.6% (2016)	5- 46.6% (2020)
	6- 51.4% (2016)	7- 59.0% (2020)	6- 39.4% (2016)	6- 49.4% (2020)
	7- 52.0% (2016)	8- 57.5% (2020)	7- 40.5% (2016)	7- 49.5% (2020)
	8- 50.5% (2016)	11-	8- 36.1% (2016)	8- 45.1% (2020)
	11- 18.6%	All- 54.8% (2020)	11-	11-
	(2016) All-		All- 41.1% (2016)	All- 47.1% (2020)
Economically	3- 36.1% (2016)	3- 39.1% (2020)	3- 37.7% (2016)	3- 42.7% (2020)
disadvantaged	4- 36.5% (2016)	4- 39.5% (2020)	4- 32.0% (2016)	4- 37.0% (2020)
students*	5- 37.2% (2016)	5- 40.2% (2020)	5- 25.5% (2016)	5- 30.5% (2020)
	6- 38.2% (2016)	6- 41.2% (2020)	6- 27.1% (2016)	6- 32.1% (2020)
	7- 37.6% (2016)	7- 40.6% (2020)	7- 27.8% (2016)	7- 38.8% (2020)
	8- 37.3% (2016)	8- 40.3% (2020)	8- 23.6% (2016)	8- 34.6% (2020)
	11- 16.4% (2016)			11-
Subgroups**	Reading/	Reading/	Mathematics:	Mathematics:
	Language Arts:	Language Arts:	Baseline Data and	Long-term
	Baseline Data	Long-term	Year	Goal
	and Year	Goal		
Children with	3- 21.6% (2016)	3- 24.6% (2020)	3- 22.7% (2016)	3- 25.7% (2020)
disabilities*	4- 18.2% (2016)	4- 21.2% (2020)	4- 16.7% (2016)	4- 19.7% (2020)
	5- 15.3% (2016)	5- 18.3% (2020)	5- 12.4% (2016)	5- 15.4% (2020)
	6- 12.8% (2016)	6- 15.8% (2020)	6- 10.3% (2016)	6- 13.3% (2020)
	7- 13.7% (2016)	7- 16.7% (2020)	7- 9.2% (2016)	7- 18.2% (2020)
	8- 12.6% (2016)	8- 15.6% (2020)	8- 9.0% (2016)	8- 18.0% (2020)
	11- 16.4% (2016)	11-	11-	11-

Previously Submit	tted			
English	3- 10.1% (2016)	3- 16.1% (2020)	3- 15.1% (2016)	3- 18.1% (2020)
learners*	4- 14.1% (2016)	4- 20.1% (2020)	4- 13.4% (2016)	4- 16.4% (2020)
	5- 7.0% (2016)	5- 13.0% (2020)	5- 4.0% (2016)	5- 7.0% (2020)
	6- 6.5% (2016)	6- 12.5% (2020)	6- 5.3% (2016)	6- 8.3% (2020)
	7- 5.7% (2016)	7- 18.7% (2020)	7- 4.4% (2016)	7- 10.4% (2020)
	8- 7.4% (2016)	8- 20.4% (2020)	8- 5.4% (2016)	8- 11.4% (2020)
	11- 11.2% (2016)	11-	11-	11-

Proposed

English Language Arts		Baseline (2016)	Year 1 (2017)	Year 2 (2018)	Year 3 (2019)	Year 4 (2020)	Year 5 (2021)	Year 6 (2022)
All Students	5.0%	50.1%	52.6%	55.1%	57.4%	59.6%	61.7%	63.7%
	7.5%	50.1%	53.9%	57.4%	60.7%	63.7%	66.5%	69.1%
Economically								
Disadvantaged	5.0%	37.1%	40.4%	43.5%	46.4%	49.2%	51.9%	54.4%
	7.5%	37.1%	42.0%	46.4%	50.6%	54.4%	57.9%	61.2%
Children With Disabilities	5.0%	16.1%	20.5%	24.7%	28.7%	32.5%	36.1%	39.5%
	7.5%	16.1%	22.6%	28.6%	34.2%	39.4%	44.2%	48.6%
English Learners	5.0%	8.3%	13.2%	18.0%	22.5%	26.8%	31.0%	34.9%
	7.5%	8.3%	15.5%	22.3%	28.6%	34.5%	39.9%	44.9%
White	5.0%	55.1%	57.4%	59.6%	61.7%	63.7%	65.7%	67.5%
winte								
	7.5%	55.1%	58.5%	61.7%	64.7%	67.4%	70.0%	72.3%
American Indian	5.0%	23.7%	27.7%	31.5%	35.2%	38.6%	41.9%	45.0%
	7.5%	23.7%	29.6%	35.1%	40.2%	44.9%	49.3%	53.3%

Mathematics		Baseline (2016)	Year 1 (2017)	Year 2 (2018)	Year 3 (2019)	Year 4 (2020)	Year 5 (2021)	Year 6 (2022)
All Students	5.0%	41.8%	44.8%	47.6%	50.3%	52.9%	55.3%	57.6%
	7.5%	41.8%	46.2%	50.3%	54.1%	57.7%	60.9%	63.9%
Economically								
Disadvantaged	5.0%	29.8%	33.4%	36.8%	40.1%	43.2%	46.2%	49.0%
	7.5%	29.8%	35.1%	40.1%	44.7%	49.0%	53.0%	56.6%
Children With								
Disabilities	5.0%	14.9%	19.4%	23.6%	27.7%	31.5%	35.2%	38.7%
	7.5%	14.9%	21.5%	27.6%	33.3%	38.6%	43.4%	47.9%
English Learners	5.0%	8.6%	13.5%	18.3%	22.8%	27.1%	31.3%	35.2%
	7.5%	8.6%	15.8%	22.6%	28.9%	34.7%	40.1%	45.2%
White	5.0%	46.6%	49.3%	52.0%	54.5%	56.8%	59.1%	61.2%
	7.5%	46.6%	50.7%	54.5%	58.0%	61.2%	64.2%	67.0%
American Indian	5.0%	17.8%	22.1%	26.2%	30.1%	33.8%	37.4%	40.7%
	7.5%	17.8%	24.2%	30.1%	35.6%	40.6%	45.3%	49.6%

Graduation Rate

Previously Submitted

Rate for 4-year cohort graduation rate. It was determined not applicable for MT to establish long-term goals for extended-year cohorts

Subgroup	Baseline (data and year)	Long-term goal data and year)
All students	86.0% (2015)	91.0% (2020)
Economically disadvantaged students	76.9% (2015)	81.9% (2020)

Previously Submitted					
Children with	75.2%	80.2%			
disabilities					
English learners	62.2%	67.2%			

Proposed

		Baseline (2016)	Year 1 (2017)	Year 2 (2018)	Year 3 (2019)	Year 4 (2020)	Year 5 (2021)	Year 6 (2022)
All Students	5.0%	85.6%	86.5%	87.3%	88.1%	88.8%	89.5%	90.1%
	7.5%	85.6%	86.9%	88.0%	89.0%	90.0%	90.8%	91.6%
Economically	F 00/	76.40/	77.00/	70.20/	00.50/	04.70/	02.00/	02.00/
Disadvantaged	5.0%	76.4%	77.9%	79.2%	80.5%	81.7%	82.8%	83.9%
	7.5%	76.4%	78.4%	80.3%	82.0%	83.6%	85.0%	86.4%
Children With								
Disabilities	5.0%	77.8%	79.4%	80.9%	82.3%	83.6%	84.9%	86.0%
	7.5%	77.8%	79.9%	81.9%	83.7%	85.3%	86.8%	88.2%
English Learners	5.0%	58.7%	61.9%	64.8%	67.6%	70.3%	72.7%	75.1%
	7.5%	58.7%	62.9%	66.7%	70.2%	73.5%	76.4%	79.1%
White	5.0%	87.3%	88.1%	88.9%	89.6%	90.3%	91.0%	91.6%
	7.5%	87.3%	88.4%	89.5%	90.4%	91.3%	92.1%	92.9%
		CF 631	67.00	70.004	70 101	74.004	75.00/	77.624
American Indian	5.0%	65.6%	67.9%	70.0%	72.1%	74.0%	75.8%	77.6%
	7.5%	65.6%	68.7%	71.6%	74.3%	76.7%	79.0%	81.0%

English Language Proficiency

Previously Submitted				
Subgroup	Baseline (data and year)	Long-term goal data and year)		
English Learners	45% (2016)	52.5% (2020)		

Proposed

								Year 6 (2022)
All Students	5.0%	44.6%	47.8%	50.9%	53.8%	56.6%	59.2%	61.7%
	7.5%	44.6%	49.2%	53.5%	57.4%	61.1%	64.4%	67.6%

Feedback on Previously Submitted Long Term Goals

From Education Northwest:

The law states that states must establish ambitious, state-designed long-term goals, which shall include measurements of interim progress. The current plan specifies that all schools and districts should aspire to improve every year on the required indicators, using the statewide average (SWA) as the bar. Some peer reviewers might argue that setting the goal for all schools at the statewide average (SWA) does not meet the definition of ambitious or long-term. For example, if the percentage of proficient/advanced students drops by 2 percentage points from 2019-2020, this would mean that the achievement goal would also therefore decline. The Montana state plan also includes a statewide goal for 2020 that is an increase from baseline of between 6 and 10 percentage points. This absolute goal provides a stable metric for the state as a whole to strive for, but is inconsistent with the language regarding the statewide average. Typically, these goals should be set based on a longer-term vision of overall performance for Montana. For example, if you believe that the current percent of students proficient/advanced in mathematics is too low, what is an ambitious target to attain in five years? Additionally, as noted on page 8, the data modeling projected smaller gains for economically disadvantaged students, children with disabilities and English learners; peer reviewers may question whether these goals addresses the spirit of the law, which is to accelerate performance for lower performing students.

The goals for English Learners are more specific, measurable and focused on growth. However, these goals may also not be ambitious enough. The goal of 52.5% of ELs growing by .5 on the composite score seems to suggest 48% of ELs won't grow at all.

Summary of Long-term Goals from Submitted State Plans

	Academic Achievement	Graduation Rate	EL Proficiency		
AZ	 Long-Term Goal #1: By 2027-2028, close proficiency gaps by at least 50 percent. The proficiency gap is defined as the difference between 90 percent proficiency and baseline subgroup proficiency. Subgroups who close the proficiency gap by 50 percent prior to 2027-2028 must continue to show proficiency gains; thus, the rationale for setting an "at least" measure for this goal. Long-Tern Goal #2: By 2039-2040, all subgroups must reach at least 90 percent proficiency on ELA and mathematics statewide assessments. Continue setting MTPs every three years until all subgroups reach 90 percent proficiency. Subgroups who meet 90 percent proficiency prior to 2039-2040 must continue to show improvement gains; thus, the rationale for setting an "at least" measure for this goal. 	90% graduation rate by 2030	60% of students will be identified as making progress towards proficiency by 2028		
СО	The cut-score for meeting state achievement expectations has historically been set at the 50th percentile of the baseline distribution. To meet the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requirements for setting long-term goals, CDE analyzed historical data using this baseline percentile ranking methodology to determine the average amount of improvement across the state and within schools over varying time frames. The average percentile rank change per year was05 for reading and +.02 in mathematics. Colorado stakeholders generally preferred a timeline of five to seven years to achieve long-term goals, so CDE has settled on six years to achieve the 53rd percentile on the baseline distribution with interim progress checks every two years. Currently only one year of assessment data was used to set the baseline percentile rank distribution and all disaggregated groups will be held to the same set of interim targets. In future years, once additional results have become available, the baseline distribution and subsequent interim targets and long-term goals may need to be revised.	90.3% in six years	15% of English learners will meet criteria for proficiency in 2024 (compared to baseline of 12%)		

	Academic Achievement	Graduation Rate	EL Proficiency
СТ	CT established growth targets for all students in grades 4-8. The metric used for the goal is average percentage of growth targets achieved by all students. The goal is for 100% of students to achieve their growth targets by 2029-2030.	94% of all students will graduate from high school in four years by 2029-2030	CT is establishing growth targets for all students who are ELs. The metric used for the goal is average percentage of growth targets achieved by all EL students. The goal is for 100% of EL students to achieve their growth targets by 2029-2030.
DE	Cut in half the percentage of students not proficient on ELA and math exams by 2030.	Cut in half the percentage of students not graduation from high school in four years (i.e., increase percentage graduation from 84.3 to 92.1)	The DDOE will implement a growth-to-target model with an indicator index and label that allows partial credit for progress toward growth goals. The adoption of this model allows schools to receive credit for both exceeding the growth target and to receive partial credit for increases toward the expected long-term goals, which will extend to 2030 Percent of students who are ELs meeting growth targets will reach 77.1% by 2030 Average percent of growth targets attained by students who are ELs will reach 98% by 2030
DC	85% of all students and subgroups will score a 4 or 5 on the PARCC exam by 2038-2039.	90% of students will graduate high school after four years by 2038-2039.	DC is adopting a modified version of the WIDA growth-to-target model that will take into account starting language proficiency level and, eventually, other student level factors, such as grade. Students at each identified level will be given predetermined annual interim growth goals. Depending on starting level achieved on the initial baseline exam, students will have a certain number of years to reach level 5, with a maximum of five years. Students with a baseline exam result of level 1 have the most time to grow to proficient; these students will have five years after their initial ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 administration to achieve proficiency level 5. The goal is for 85% of ELs to meet individual growth goals by 2038-2039
IL	90% of all students and student subgroups will be proficient in ELA and math in grades 3, 5, and 9 by 2032.	90% of all students and student subgroups will graduate high school by 2032.	63% of English learner students will demonstrate progress of improving at least one proficiency level from the prior year by 2025.

	Academic	Achievem	ent	Graduation Rate	EL Proficiency		
LA	Annual ave of 2.5 pero students a subgroups math tests 2025.	centage po and studen s on state E	ints for all t ELA and	90% of all students and student subgroups will graduate high school by 2025.	90% of English learner students will achieve growth targets by 2025.		
ME	Subgrou ELA Goal: Math p % Goal: proficient % proficient by 2030 proficient % ent203 0 0 All 75.20 69.15 students 62.13 62.13 CwDs 57.75 55.95 EL 57.93 56.32 AA 63.63 58.31 America n Ind. 65.58 61.56 Asian 79.8 74.86		Goal: % profici ent203 0 69.15 62.13 55.95 56.32 58.31 61.56	90% of all students and student subgroups will graduate high school in four years by 2030 or will maintain their current percentage (i.e., Asian currently 90.68), whichever is greater.	Average percent of growth targets attained by students who are ELs will reach 90% by 2030.		
	White	White 75.81 69.67					
	Hispanic	71.51	64.05				

	Academic Achievement	Graduation R	ate		EL Proficiency			
	Massachusetts will be	Increase grad	uation rate for	all	Reduce the perc	entage of students not		
MA	administering a new statewide	_	student subgre		making sufficient progress towards			
	assessment in grades 3-8 in		ige points by 2	•	English language proficiency by 50%			
	the 2016-17 school year.		the four-year		over the next six years (i.e., increase			
	Because baseline data from		ite, Massachus	etts	from 61.8% to 8			
	the new assessments will not	-	dified version o		110111011070100	270)		
	be available until the summer		graduation rat					
	of 2017, it is not possible for	-	culation of the					
	Massachusetts to determine	five-year grad		=				
				havo				
	final long-term goals for the	-	students who					
	state at this time. However, in		oloma within fi					
	the interim Massachusetts has	-	ring the assign					
	set ambitious, long-term	-	roposes to use					
	academic achievement goals		qual to the sum					
	for all students and all	-	ge of students					
	subgroups in English language	_	ed within five	-				
	arts, Mathematics and Science		entage of stud					
	based on assessment results	that are still e	enrolled in school					
	from the 2015-16 school year,	after years.M	A goals for "5-	year				
	and plans to apply the same	graduation ra	ite plus" by 20	20:				
	methodology once Next-	Student group	Long term					
	Generation MCAS results are		goal					
	available. The overall goal is	All students	93.7					
	consistent for all groups and	ED students CwD	88.5 88					
	subjects: reduce the	English learner						
	proficiency gap by one-third	High needs	89.5					
	over the next six years.	AA/Black	89.6					
	oren ane memorin yeuren	Asian	96.8					
		Hispanic/Latino	_					
		AI/AN Multi	89.7 92.6					
		Native	89.2					
		White	96.0					
	75% of schools and 75% of all stu		75% of schools and		75% of student	75% of schools will		
MI	subgroups will reach proficiency		subgroups meet the			have 45.7% of English		
	state exams in ELA, math, science					learner students		
	studies by 2024-2025.	75 th percentile by 2				achieve proficiency		
			•	•	ear rate. 96.49%	growth targets by		
			five-year rate	•		2024-2025.		
			nve-year rate	. 51/0	JIN YEAR TALE	2027-2023.		

	Academ	ic Achie	ievement Graduation				EL Proficiency				
	Grades 3-5						Nevada uses	s the 4-	vea	r graduation	NDE goal is that 90%
VV	Sub-	RLA	RLA	Math	Math	Nevada uses the 4-year graduation rate as well as the 5-year			of English learners		
	group	Baseline	Longtem	Baseline	e Longterm		graduation r	will exit EL status			
	S	(2016)	(2022)	(2016)	(2022)		accountabili	ity syste	em.		within six years of
	All	49.9%	63.1%	39.9%	55.8%		4-year Rate			initial EL identification and	
	Е	37.9%	54.4%	28.8%	47.7%		Subgroup	Baseline	Lo	ng-term	90% of Long-term
	CwD	18.3%	39.9%	16.7%	38.8%			(2016)	(20	022)	English learners will
	ELs	31.7%	49.8%	25.1%	44.9%		All students	73.6%	84	% (2022)	exit EL status by
	AA	39.6%	55.6%	29.6%	48.2%		ED	66.7%		.0%	2022. This will be
	AI/AN	71.4%	78.9%	63.6%	73.3%		Children	29.3%	60	.0%	measured by
	Asian	33.0%	50.8%	21.1%	42.0%		English	42.6%	_	.0%	aggregating the number of English
	H/L	32.9%	50.7%	23.4%	43.7%		Hispanic	69.7%		.0%	learners who achieve
	White	50.9%	63.9%	39.7%	55.7%		Asian	87.9%		.0%	Nevada's EL exit
	Middle	School		I .	1		African	56.5%		.0%	criteria over a six
	All	46.4%	60.6%	26%	45.6%		Native	64.7%		.0%	year period.
	E	35.1%	52.3%	17.4%	39.3%		Pacific	75.9%		.0%	
	CwD	9.0%	33.1%	5.1%	30.2%						
	EL	11.7%	35.1%	6.9%	31.6%		5-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate (note, dates				
	H/L	36.0%	53.0%	17.5%	39.4%		reflect origin	•			
	Asian	71.9%	79.3%	51.7%	64.5%		Subgroup	Baseli	ne	Long-	
	AA	27.5%	46.7%	10.8%	34.4%			(2015)	term	
	Native		51.5%	16.4%	38.6%					Goal	
	Pacific		59.9%	26.4%	45.9%					(2022)	
	Caucas		71.1%	38.3%	54.7%		All students	72.0%		86%	
							Economically	65.9%		83%	
	Multi- High Sch	54.8%	66.8%	30.8%	49.1%		disadvantage	ed			
	All	68.6%	76.9%	34.0%	51.5%		Children with	29.1%		62%	
	All	06.0%		34.0%	31.3%		English	31.7%		72%	
	ED	58.7%	69.6%	23.5%	43.8%		Hispanic	64.2%		84%	
	CwD	33.4%	51.0%	8.9%	33.0%		Asian	85.5%		92%	
	EL	29.4%	48.1%	8.6%	32.8%		African	56.5%		77%	
	Hispanio	(2046)	72.9%	24.6%	44.6%		Native	52.9%		82%	
	Asian	83.2% 45.0%	87.7% 59.6%	57.2% 15.3%	68.5% 37.8%		Pacific	76.1%		88%	
	AA Native		-	25.6%			Caucasian	78.3%		91%	
	Pacific	70.5% 65.9%	78.3% 74.9%	32.0%	45.3% 50.0%		Multi-race	77.6%		89%	
								•			
	Caucasi	77.2%	83.2%	43.4%	58.4%						
	Multi-	73.9%	80.8%	38.5%	54.8%						
	By 2030	, 80% of	all stude	nts and	subgroups	9	5% of all stu	dents a	nd	all student	By 2023, 86% of
ΛJ		n each tested grade will meet or exceed					ubgroups wi		English learners in		
	_			on state	wide ELA		four-years of entering ninth grade.				each school will mak
	and mat	h assess	ments.				96% of all students and all student			expected annual	
						subgroups will graduate within five-				progress toward	
						У	ears.				attaining ELP.

	Academic Achieve	ement	Graduation	Rate		EL Prof	iciency	
NM	Percent proficient assessment Student group	ELA goal by	on the PARCC Math goal by	Student group	Class of 2022 4-year goal	Class of 2021 5-year goal	Class of 2020 6- year goal	55% of English learners will meet yearly growth targets by 2022.
ND	All students ED SwD English learners Caucasian Hispanic Asian American Indian African American		•	All students ED SwD EL Caucasian Hispanic Asian Al/AN AA 90% of all		88 86 83 86 90 87 93 85 83 and all st		72% of all students
ואט	students for all stu subgroups from th in 6 years.	subgroups years by 20 93% of all subgroups six years b	023-2024 students will grad y 2023-20	and all English learners will meet growth targets by 2023-2024.				
OR	80% of all student subgroups will ach statewide assessn 2024-2025.	90% of all students and subgroups will graduate in four years by 2025-2025. 93% of all students and subgroups will graduate in five years by 2024-2025.				Goals have not yet been set. Are awaiting baseline data from newly adopted assessment		
TN	Overarching TN got 1) Tennessee will states on the NAE of TN third grader reading by 2025.2 composite score in 3) The majority TN from class of 2020 postsecondary cel degree. Academic achieve will achieve a 50% of students not m mastered level on math, and science	rank in the P assessres will be the avenue of the avenue of the avenue of the will refricate, are duction the state of the state of the state of the assessment of the state of the state of the state of the assessment of the state of the st	nent by 2019. 75% proficient in grage ACT be 21 by 2020. nool graduates earn a diploma, or 2024-2025 TN on in the number e on track or e's annual ELA,	By 2025 TN graduation Student gro All students ED SwDs English lear African Am AI/AN Asian etc Hispanic or White	n rate for		l	By 2024-2025, 75% of English learners will meet appropriate growth standard on the WIDA ACCESS.
VT	VT's long-term goal is that by 2025, 100% of our schools will show an average scale score that is at the mid-point of the proficiency range for each grade level they serve for both ELA and math. This goal applies to all students and subgroups.			By 2025, 1 have 90% of graduate v By 2025, 1 have 100% graduate v	of their st vithin 4-y 00% of V of their	tudents ears. T schools students		100% of students achieving ELP within 5 years for level 1, 4 years for level 2, 3 years for level 3, 2 years for level 4.